

PRESERVATION OF IMMERSED OR INJECTIVE PROPERTIES BY COMPOSING GENERIC GENERALIZED DISTANCE-SQUARED MAPPINGS

SHUNSUKE ICHIKI AND TAKASHI NISHIMURA

ABSTRACT. Any generalized distance-squared mapping of equidimensional case has singularities, and their singularity types are wrapped into mystery in higher dimensional cases. Any generalized distance-squared mapping of equidimensional case is not injective. Nevertheless, in this paper, it is shown that the non-singular property or the injective property of a mapping is preserved by composing a generic generalized distance-squared mapping of equidimensional case.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, i, j, ℓ, m and n stand for positive integers. In this paper, unless otherwise stated, all manifolds and mappings belong to class C^∞ and all manifolds are without boundary. Let $p_i = (p_{i1}, p_{i2}, \dots, p_{im})$ ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$) (resp., $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq \ell, 1 \leq j \leq m}$) be a point of $\mathbb{R}^m$ (resp., an $\ell \times m$ matrix with non-zero entries). Set $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$. Let $G_{(p,A)} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be the mapping defined by

$$G_{(p,A)}(x) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m a_{1j}(x_j - p_{1j})^2, \sum_{j=1}^m a_{2j}(x_j - p_{2j})^2, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^m a_{\ell j}(x_j - p_{\ell j})^2 \right),$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. The mapping $G_{(p,A)}$ is called a *generalized distance-squared mapping*, and the ℓ -tuple of points $p = (p_1, \dots, p_\ell) \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ is called the *central point* of the generalized distance-squared mapping $G_{(p,A)}$. A *distance-squared mapping* D_p (resp., *Lorentzian distance-squared mapping* L_p) is the mapping $G_{(p,A)}$ satisfying that each entry of A is 1 (resp., $a_{i1} = -1$ and $a_{ij} = 1$ ($j \neq 1$)).

In [4] (resp., [5]), a classification result on distance-squared mappings D_p (resp., Lorentzian distance-squared mappings L_p) is given.

In [7], a classification result on generalized distance-squared mappings of the plane into the plane is given. If the rank of A is two, a generalized distance-squared mapping having a generic central point is a mapping of which any singular point is a fold point except one cusp point. The singular set is a rectangular hyperbola. If the rank of A is one, a generalized distance-squared mapping having a generic central point is $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent to the normal form of fold singularity $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (x_1, x_2^2)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57R35, 57R40, 57R42.

Key words and phrases. generalized distance-squared mapping, immersion, injective, embedding, transverse .

The first author is Research Fellow DC1 of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science .

In [6], a classification result on generalized distance-squared mappings of $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$ is given. If the rank of A is $m+1$, a generalized distance-squared mapping having a generic central point is $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent to the normal form of Whitney umbrella $(x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}) \mapsto (x_1^2, x_1x_2, \dots, x_1x_{m+1}, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1})$. If the rank of A is less than $m+1$, a generalized distance-squared mapping having a generic central point is $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent to the inclusion $(x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}, 0, \dots, 0)$.

In [6] and [7], the properties of generic generalized distance-squared mappings are investigated. Hence, it is natural to investigate the properties of compositions with generic generalized distance-squared mappings.

We have another original motivation. Height functions and distance-squared functions have been investigated in detail so far, and they are a useful tool in the applications of singularity theory to differential geometry (for instance, see [2]). The mapping in which each component is a height function is nothing but a projection. In [8], compositions of generic projections and embeddings are investigated.

On the other hand, the mapping in which each component is a distance-squared function is a distance-squared mapping. And, the notion of generalized distance-squared mapping is an extension of the distance-squared mappings. Therefore, it is again natural to investigate compositions with generic generalized distance-squared mappings.

Any generalized distance-squared mapping of equidimensional case $G_{(p,A)} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ has singularities (see Lemma 5.1 in Appendix). Nevertheless, in Theorem 1, it is shown that the immersed property of a mapping is preserved by composing a generic generalized distance-squared mapping of equidimensional case.

Theorem 1. *Let N be an n -dimensional manifold, and let $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be an immersion ($m \geq 2n$). Then, there exists a subset Σ of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^m$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma$, the composition $G_{(p,A)} \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is an immersion.*

Any generalized distance-squared mapping of equidimensional case $G_{(p,A)} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is not injective (see Lemma 5.2 in Appendix). Nevertheless, in Theorem 2, it is shown that the injective property of a mapping is preserved by composing a generic generalized distance-squared mapping of equidimensional case.

Theorem 2. *Let N be an n -dimensional manifold, and let $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be injective ($m \geq 2n+1$). Then, there exists a subset Σ of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^m$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma$, the composition $G_{(p,A)} \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is injective.*

By combining Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *Let N be an n -dimensional manifold, and let $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be an injective immersion ($m \geq 2n+1$). Then, there exists a subset Σ of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^m$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma$, the composition $G_{(p,A)} \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is an injective immersion.*

1.1. Remark. Suppose that the mapping $G_{(p,A)} \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is proper in Proposition 1. Then, the injective immersion of $G_{(p,A)} \circ f$ implies the embedding of it (see [3], p.11). Hence, we have the following as a corollary of Proposition 1.

Corollary 1. *Let N be an n -dimensional compact manifold, and let $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be an embedding ($m \geq 2n+1$). Then, there exists a subset Σ of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^m$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma$, the composition $G_{(p,A)} \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is an embedding.*

In Section 2, it is reviewed some of standard definitions, and an important lemma for the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 is given. Section 3 (resp., Section 4) devotes the proof of Theorem 1 (resp., Theorem 2). Finally, in Subsection 5.1 (resp., Subsection 5.2), for the sake of readers' convenience, it is given the proof that any generalized distance-squared mapping of equidimensional case has singularities (resp., the proof that any generalized distance-squared mapping of equidimensional case is not injective).

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let N and P be manifolds and let $J^r(N, P)$ be the space of r -jets of mappings of N into P . For a given mapping $g : N \rightarrow P$, the mapping $j^r g : N \rightarrow J^r(N, P)$ is defined by $q \mapsto j^r g(q)$ (for details on the space $J^r(N, P)$ or the mapping $j^r g : N \rightarrow J^r(N, P)$, see for example [3]).

Next, we recall the definition of transversality.

Definition 1. Let W be a submanifold of P . For a given mapping $g : N \rightarrow P$, we say that $g : N \rightarrow P$ is *transverse* to W if for any $q \in N$, $g(q) \notin W$ or in the case of $g(q) \in W$, the following holds:

$$dg_q(T_q N) + T_{g(q)} W = T_{g(q)} P.$$

For the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, the following lemma is important.

Lemma 2.1 ([1], [8]). *Let N, P, Z be manifolds, and let W be a submanifold of P . Let $\Gamma : N \times Z \rightarrow P$ be a mapping. If Γ is transverse to W , then there exists a subset Σ of Z with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in Z - \Sigma$, $\Gamma_p : N \rightarrow P$ is transverse to W , where $\Gamma_p(q) = \Gamma(q, p)$.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let $\{(U_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a coordinate neighborhood system of N . Let $\pi : J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow N \times \mathbb{R}^m$ be the natural projection defined by $\pi(j^1 g(q)) = (q, g(q))$. Let $\Phi_\lambda : \pi^{-1}(U_\lambda \times \mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \varphi_\lambda(U_\lambda) \times \mathbb{R}^m \times J^1(n, m)$ be the homeomorphism defined by

$$\Phi_\lambda(j^1 g(q)) = (\varphi_\lambda(q), g(q), j^1(g \circ \varphi_\lambda^{-1} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_\lambda)(0)),$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the translation defined by $\tilde{\varphi}_\lambda(0) = \varphi_\lambda(q)$. Then, $\{(\pi^{-1}(U_\lambda \times \mathbb{R}^m), \Phi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a coordinate neighborhood system of $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$. For any k ($k = 1, \dots, n$), set

$$\Sigma^k = \{j^1 g(0) \in J^1(n, m) \mid \dim \text{Ker } Jg(0) = k\}.$$

For any k ($k = 1, \dots, n$), set

$$\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^m) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Phi_\lambda^{-1}(\varphi_\lambda(U_\lambda) \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \Sigma^k).$$

Then, the set $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$ is a subfiber-bundle of $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codim } \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^m) &= \dim J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^m) - \dim \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^m) \\ &= k(m - n + k). \end{aligned}$$

(for details on $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$, see for example [3], pp.60–61).

Now, let $\Gamma : N \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^m \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be the mapping defined by

$$\Gamma(q, p) = j^1(G_{(p,A)} \circ f)(q).$$

We will show first that the mapping Γ is transverse to the submanifold $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$ for any k ($k = 1, \dots, n$). It is sufficient to show that if $\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}) \in \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$, then the following (*) holds.

$$(*) \quad d\Gamma_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p})}(T_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p})}(N \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^m)) + T_{\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p})}\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^m) = T_{\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p})}J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^m).$$

There exists a coordinate neighborhood $(U_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^m, \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times id)$ containing the point $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p})$ of $N \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^m$, where id is the identity mapping of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^m$ into $(\mathbb{R}^m)^m$, and the mapping $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times id : U_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^m$ is defined by $(\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times id)(q, p) = (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(q), id(p))$. There exists a coordinate neighborhood $(\pi^{-1}(U_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times \mathbb{R}^m), \Phi_{\tilde{\lambda}})$ containing the point $\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p})$ of $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Let $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a local coordinate containing $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{q})$. Then, the mapping Γ is locally given by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \circ \Gamma \circ (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times id)^{-1})(t, p) \\ &= (\Phi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \circ \Gamma \circ (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1} \times id^{-1}))(t, p) \\ &= (\Phi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \circ \Gamma)(\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1}(t), p) \\ &= \Phi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\Gamma(\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1}(t), p)) \\ &= \Phi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(j^1(G_{(p,A)} \circ f)(\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1}(t))) \\ &= (\Phi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \circ j^1(G_{(p,A)} \circ f) \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})(t) \\ &= \left(t, (G_{(p,A)} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})(t), \right. \\ & \quad \frac{\partial(G_1 \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_1}(t), \dots, \frac{\partial(G_1 \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_n}(t), \\ & \quad \dots, \dots, \\ & \quad \left. \frac{\partial(G_m \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_1}(t), \dots, \frac{\partial(G_m \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_n}(t) \right) \\ &= \left(t, (G_{(p,A)} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})(t), \right. \\ & \quad 2 \sum_{j=1}^m a_{1j}(\tilde{f}_j(t) - p_{1j}) \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_1}(t), \dots, 2 \sum_{j=1}^m a_{1j}(\tilde{f}_j(t) - p_{1j}) \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_n}(t), \\ & \quad \dots, \dots, \\ & \quad \left. 2 \sum_{j=1}^m a_{mj}(\tilde{f}_j(t) - p_{mj}) \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_1}(t), \dots, 2 \sum_{j=1}^m a_{mj}(\tilde{f}_j(t) - p_{mj}) \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_n}(t) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $p = (p_{11}, \dots, p_{1m}, \dots, p_{m1}, \dots, p_{mm})$, $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$, $G_{(p,A)} = (G_1, \dots, G_m)$, and $\tilde{f}_j = f_j \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1}$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$). The Jacobian matrix of the mapping Γ at $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p})$ is the following:

$$J\Gamma_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p})} = \left(\begin{array}{c|cccc} E_n & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline & * & \cdots & \cdots & * \\ & B_1 & & & 0 \\ * & & B_2 & & \\ & & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & & & B_m \end{array} \right)_{(\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{q}), \tilde{p})},$$

where E_n is the $n \times n$ unit matrix and B_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) is the following $n \times m$ matrix.

$$B_i = \begin{pmatrix} -2a_{i1} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_1}{\partial t_1}(t) & \cdots & -2a_{im} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_m}{\partial t_1}(t) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -2a_{i1} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_1}{\partial t_n}(t) & \cdots & -2a_{im} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_m}{\partial t_n}(t) \end{pmatrix}_{t=\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{q})}.$$

Since $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$ is a subfiber-bundle of $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with fiber Σ^k , in order to show (*), it is clearly seen that the rank of the following matrix C is $n + m + nm$.

$$C = \left(\begin{array}{c|cccc} E_{n+m} & * & \cdots & \cdots & * \\ \hline & B_1 & & & 0 \\ 0 & & B_2 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & 0 & & B_m \end{array} \right)_{(\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{q}), \tilde{p})},$$

where E_{n+m} is the $(n+m) \times (n+m)$ unit matrix. Notice that for any i ($1 \leq i \leq m^2$), the $(n+m+i)$ -th column vector of C is the $(n+i)$ -th column vector of $J\Gamma_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p})}$. Let $Jf_{\tilde{q}}$ be the Jacobian matrix of the mapping f at $\tilde{q}$. Since $a_{ij} \neq 0$ for any i, j ($1 \leq i, j \leq m$), there exists an $m \times m$ regular matrix R such that $B_i R = {}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}})$ for any i ($1 \leq i \leq m$), where ${}^tX$ means the transposed matrix of X . Hence, there exists a $(n+m+m^2) \times (n+m+m^2)$ regular matrix $\tilde{R}$ such that

$$C\tilde{R} = \left(\begin{array}{c|cccc} E_{n+m} & * & \cdots & \cdots & * \\ \hline & {}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}}) & & & 0 \\ 0 & & {}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}}) & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & 0 & & {}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}}) \end{array} \right)_{(\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{q}), \tilde{p})}.$$

Since the mapping f is an immersion ($n \leq m$), we have that the rank of the matrix $C\tilde{R}$ is $n+m+nm$. Therefore, the rank of the matrix C must be $n+m+nm$. Hence, we have (*). Thus, the mapping Γ is transverse to the submanifold $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$.

By Lemma 2.1, for any k ($k = 1, \dots, n$), there exists a subset $\tilde{\Sigma}^k$ of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^m$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \tilde{\Sigma}^k$, the mapping $\Gamma_p : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$ is transverse to the submanifold $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Set $\Sigma = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \tilde{\Sigma}^k$. Notice that Σ is a subset of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^m$ with Lebesgue measure zero. Then, for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma$, the mapping $\Gamma_p : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$ is transverse to the submanifold $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$ for any k ($k = 1, \dots, n$).

In order to show that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma$, the mapping $G_{(p,A)} \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is an immersion, it is sufficient to show that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma$, it follows that $\Gamma_p(N) \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^n \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^m) = \emptyset$.

Suppose that there exists an element $p_0 \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma$ such that there exists an element $q_0 \in N$ such that $\Gamma_{p_0}(q_0) \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Then, there exists a natural number k' ($1 \leq k' \leq n$) such that $\Gamma_{p_0}(q_0) \in \Sigma^{k'}(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Since Γ_{p_0} is transverse to $\Sigma^{k'}(N, \mathbb{R}^m)$, we have the following:

$$d(\Gamma_{p_0})_{q_0}(T_{q_0}N) + T_{\Gamma_{p_0}(q_0)}\Sigma^{k'}(N, \mathbb{R}^m) = T_{\Gamma_{p_0}(q_0)}J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^m).$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim d(\Gamma_{p_0})_{q_0}(T_{q_0}N) &\geq \dim T_{\Gamma_{p_0}(q_0)}J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^m) - \dim T_{\Gamma_{p_0}(q_0)}\Sigma^{k'}(N, \mathbb{R}^m) \\ &= \text{codim } T_{\Gamma_{p_0}(q_0)}\Sigma^{k'}(N, \mathbb{R}^m). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $n \geq k'(m - n + k')$. This contradicts the assumptions $m \geq 2n$ and $k' \geq 1$. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let Δ be the subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ defined by $\Delta = \{(y, y) \mid y \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$. It is clearly seen that Δ is a submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ such that

$$\text{codim } \Delta = \dim \mathbb{R}^{2m} - \dim \Delta = m.$$

Set $N^{(2)} = \{(q, q') \in N^2 \mid q \neq q'\}$. Notice that $N^{(2)}$ is an open submanifold of N^2 .

Now, let $\Gamma : N^{(2)} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ be the mapping defined by

$$\Gamma(q, q', p) = ((G_{(p,A)} \circ f)(q), (G_{(p,A)} \circ f)(q')).$$

We will show first that the mapping Γ is transverse to the submanifold Δ . It is sufficient to show that if $\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}', \tilde{p}) \in \Delta$, then the following $(**)$ holds.

$$(**) \quad d\Gamma_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}', \tilde{p})}(T_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}', \tilde{p})}(N^{(2)} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^m)) + T_{\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}', \tilde{p})}\Delta = T_{\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}', \tilde{p})}\mathbb{R}^{2m}.$$

Let $\{(U_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a coordinate neighborhood system of N . There exists a coordinate neighborhood $(U_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times U_{\tilde{\lambda}'} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^m, \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}'} \times id)$ containing the point $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}', \tilde{p})$ of $N^{(2)} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^m$, where id is the identity mapping of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^m$ into $(\mathbb{R}^m)^m$, and the mapping $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}'} \times id : U_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times U_{\tilde{\lambda}'} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^m$ is defined by $(\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}'} \times id)(q, q', p) = (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(q), \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}'}(q'), id(p))$. Let $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ be a local coordinate containing $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{q})$, and let $t' = (t'_1, \dots, t'_n)$ be a local coordinate containing $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}'}(\tilde{q}')$. Then, the mapping Γ is locally given by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma \circ (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}'} \times id)^{-1}(t, t', p) \\ &= \Gamma \circ (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1} \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}'}^{-1} \times id^{-1})(t, t', p) \\ &= \Gamma(\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1}(t), \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}'}^{-1}(t'), p) \\ &= ((G_{(p,A)} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})(t), (G_{(p,A)} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}'}^{-1})(t')) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^m a_{1j}(\tilde{f}_j(t) - p_{1j})^2, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^m a_{mj}(\tilde{f}_j(t) - p_{mj})^2, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{j=1}^m a_{1j}(\tilde{f}'_j(t') - p_{1j})^2, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^m a_{mj}(\tilde{f}'_j(t') - p_{mj})^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $p = (p_{11}, \dots, p_{1m}, \dots, p_{m1}, \dots, p_{mm})$, $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$, $\tilde{f}_j = f_j \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1}$, and $\tilde{f}'_j = f_j \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}'}^{-1}$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$). The Jacobian matrix of the mapping Γ at $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}', \tilde{p})$ is

the following:

$$J\Gamma_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}', \tilde{p})} = \left(\begin{array}{c|cccc} & \mathbf{b}_1 & & & 0 \\ & & \mathbf{b}_2 & & \\ * & 0 & & \ddots & \\ & \mathbf{b}'_1 & & & \mathbf{b}_m \\ & & \mathbf{b}'_2 & & 0 \\ & 0 & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \mathbf{b}'_m \end{array} \right)_{(\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{q}), \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}'}(\tilde{q}'), \tilde{p})},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}_i &= -2 \left(a_{i1}(\tilde{f}_1(t) - p_{i1}), \dots, a_{im}(\tilde{f}_m(t) - p_{im}) \right), \\ \mathbf{b}'_i &= -2 \left(a_{i1}(\tilde{f}'_1(t') - p_{i1}), \dots, a_{im}(\tilde{f}'_m(t') - p_{im}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By seeing the construction of $T_{\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}', \tilde{p})}\Delta$, in order to show (**), it is sufficient to show that the rank of the following matrix D is $2m$.

$$D = \left(\begin{array}{c|cccc} E_m & \mathbf{b}_1 & & & 0 \\ & & \mathbf{b}_2 & & \\ & 0 & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \mathbf{b}_m \\ \hline E_m & \mathbf{b}'_1 & & & 0 \\ & & \mathbf{b}'_2 & & \\ & 0 & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \mathbf{b}'_m \end{array} \right)_{(\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{q}), \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}'}(\tilde{q}'), \tilde{p})},$$

where E_m is the $m \times m$ unit matrix. Notice that for any i ($1 \leq i \leq m^2$), the $(m+i)$ -th column vector of D is the $(2n+i)$ -th column vector of $J\Gamma_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}', \tilde{p})}$.

By $a_{ij} \neq 0$, there exist an $(m+m^2) \times (m+m^2)$ regular matrix Q_1 such that the following holds:

$$DQ_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|cccc} E_m & \tilde{f}_1(t) - p_{11} & \cdots & \tilde{f}_m(t) - p_{1m} & \\ & & 0 & & \ddots \\ & & & & 0 \\ \hline & \tilde{f}_1(t') - p_{11} & \cdots & \tilde{f}_m(t') - p_{1m} & \\ & & 0 & & \ddots \\ & & & & 0 \end{array} \right)_{(t, t', p)}$$

where $(t, t', p) = (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{q}), \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}'}(\tilde{q}'), \tilde{p})$. It is clearly seen that there exist a $2m \times 2m$ regular matrix Q_2 and an $(m+m^2) \times (m+m^2)$ regular matrix Q_3 such that the following holds:

$$Q_2 D Q_1 Q_3 = \begin{pmatrix} E_m & & & & 0 \\ \hline & \tilde{f}'_1(t') - \tilde{f}_1(t) & \cdots & \tilde{f}'_m(t') - \tilde{f}_m(t) & \\ 0 & & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ & & & \tilde{f}'_1(t') - \tilde{f}_1(t) & \cdots & \tilde{f}'_m(t') - \tilde{f}_m(t) \end{pmatrix}_{(t,t',p)},$$

where $(t, t', p) = (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{q}), \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}'}(\tilde{q}'), \tilde{p})$. Since f is injective, there exists a natural number j ($1 \leq j \leq m$) such that $\tilde{f}'_j(t') - \tilde{f}_j(t) \neq 0$. Hence, we have that the rank of $Q_2 D Q_1 Q_3$ is $2m$. Therefore, the rank of the matrix D must be $2m$. Hence, we have (**). Thus, the mapping Γ is transverse to the submanifold Δ .

By Lemma 2.1, there exists a subset Σ of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^m$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma$, the mapping $\Gamma_p : N^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ is transverse to the submanifold Δ .

In order to prove that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma$, the mapping $G_{(p,A)} \circ f$ is injective, it is sufficient to show that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma$, it follows that $\Gamma_p(N^{(2)}) \cap \Delta = \emptyset$. Suppose that there exists an element $p_0 \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma$ such that there exists an element $(q_0, q'_0) \in N^{(2)}$ such that $\Gamma_{p_0}(q_0, q'_0) \in \Delta$. Since Γ_{p_0} is transverse to Δ , we have the following:

$$d(\Gamma_{p_0})_{(q_0, q'_0)}(T_{(q_0, q'_0)}N^{(2)}) + T_{\Gamma_{p_0}(q_0, q'_0)}\Delta = T_{\Gamma_{p_0}(q_0, q'_0)}\mathbb{R}^{2m}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim d(\Gamma_{p_0})_{(q_0, q'_0)}(T_{(q_0, q'_0)}N^{(2)}) &\geq \dim T_{\Gamma_{p_0}(q_0, q'_0)}\mathbb{R}^{2m} - \dim T_{\Gamma_{p_0}(q_0, q'_0)}\Delta \\ &= \text{codim } T_{\Gamma_{p_0}(q_0, q'_0)}\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $2n \geq m$. This contradicts the assumption $m \geq 2n + 1$. $\square$

5. APPENDIX

The proofs of the following Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 are given in Subsection 5.1 and Subsection 5.2, respectively.

Lemma 5.1. *Any generalized distance-squared mapping of equidimensional case $G_{(p,A)} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ has singularities.*

Lemma 5.2. *Any generalized distance-squared mapping of equidimensional case $G_{(p,A)} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is not injective.*

5.1. Proof of Lemma 5.1. Let $J(G_{(p,A)})_x$ be the Jacobian matrix of the mapping $G_{(p,A)}$ at x .

$$J(G_{(p,A)})_x = 2 \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(x_1 - p_{11}) & \cdots & a_{1m}(x_m - p_{1m}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}(x_1 - p_{m1}) & \cdots & a_{mm}(x_m - p_{mm}) \end{pmatrix}_x.$$

If $x = p_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$), then we have that $\text{rank } J(G_{(p,A)})_{p_i} \leq m - 1$. $\square$

5.2. Proof of Lemma 5.2. Set $G_{(p,A)} = (G_1, \dots, G_m)$. It is clear that $G_{(p,A)}^{-1}(\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{m-1}) = G_1^{-1}(0)$. Since G_1 has the form $G_1(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{1j}(x_j - p_{1j})^2$ ($a_{1j} \neq 0$), it is easy to see that $G_1^{-1}(0) = \{p_1\}$ or $G_1^{-1}(0) - \{p_1\}$ is homotopy equivalent to $S^k \times S^{m-2-k}$ where k is an integer such that $0 \leq k \leq m - 2$. Hence, it follows

that the set-germ $(\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{m-1}, G_{(p,A)}(p_1))$ is not homeomorphic to the set-germ $(G_1^{-1}(0), p_1)$.

On the other hand, suppose that $G_{(p,A)}$ is injective. Then, by the invariance of domain theorem ([9]), $G_{(p,A)}^{-1} : G_{(p,A)}(\mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ must be a homeomorphism. It follows that the set-germ $(\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{m-1}, G_{(p,A)}(p_1))$ is homeomorphic to the set-germ $(G_1^{-1}(0), p_1)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $G_{(p,A)}$ is not injective. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16J06911.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Abraham, *Transversality in manifolds of mappings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 470–474.
- [2] J. W. Bruce and P. J. Giblin, *Curves and Singularities (second edition)*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [3] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin, *Stable mappings and their singularities*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **14**, Springer, New York, 1973.
- [4] S. Ichiki and T. Nishimura, *Distance-squared mappings*, Topology Appl., **160** (2013), 1005–1016.
- [5] S. Ichiki and T. Nishimura, *Recognizable classification of Lorentzian distance-squared mappings*, J. Geom. Phys., **81** (2014), 62–71.
- [6] S. Ichiki and T. Nishimura, *Generalized distance-squared mappings of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$* , to appear in the Proceedings of the XIII International Workshop on Real and Complex Singularities, Contemporary Mathematics.
- [7] S. Ichiki, T. Nishimura, R. Oset Sinha and M. A. S. Ruas, *Generalized distance-squared mappings of the plane into the plane*, Adv. Geom., **16** (2016), 189–198.
- [8] J. N. Mather, *Generic projections*, Ann. of Math., (2) **98** (1973), 226–245.
- [9] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1966.
- [10] H. Whitney, *On singularities of mappings of euclidean spaces. I. Mappings of the plane into the plane*, Ann. of Math., (2), **62** (1955), 374–410.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ENVIRONMENT AND INFORMATION SCIENCES, YOKOHAMA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, YOKOHAMA 240-8501, JAPAN

E-mail address: ichiki-shunsuke-jb@ynu.jp

RESEARCH GROUP OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENT AND INFORMATION SCIENCES, YOKOHAMA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, YOKOHAMA 240-8501, JAPAN

E-mail address: nishimura-takashi-yx@ynu.jp